

EDWARD BODMER

Curriculum Vitae

Testimony Record

Independent Consultant, 1990 – Present

First National Bank of Chicago, USA

Vice President, Industry Specialist/Electric and Gas Division, 1986 – 1990

Union Tank Car Company, Chicago, USA

Senior Financial Analyst, 1984 – 1986

Illinois Commerce Commission, Accounts and Finance Division, 1979 - 1984

Testimony Record

Most of the testimony can be found at: <http://edbodmer.wikispaces.com/Testimony>

1. For the Staff of the Maine Public Service Commission, November 2011, Docket No. 2010-327 (Bench Analysis). Statistical analysis of uncollectible expenses in the context of prudence analysis.
2. On Behalf of the City of Chicago, November 2010, Docket 10-0467. Direct and rebuttal testimony addressing biases in NCP allocators that favor business consumers and problems with allocation of distribution costs in the customer charge.
3. For the Staff of the Maine Public Service Commission, July 21, 2010, Docket No. 2009-217. Statistical analysis of the reasons for increases in customer complaints in the context of penalties in ARP.
4. For the Staff of the Maine Public Service Commission, September 2007, Docket No. 2007-215. Sales forecast and Merger Premium Analysis in Context of Alternative Regulatory Plan (Bench Analysis).
5. On Behalf of the City of Chicago, May 2009, Docket 08-0532. Direct and rebuttal testimony on cost allocation for customer costs and for street lights.
6. On Behalf of Excelsior Energy, October, 2006 before the Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings OAH Docket No. 12-2500-17260-2. Direct and rebuttal and sur-rebuttal testimony on the risks and cost benefit analysis of Greenfield plant with PPA contract.
7. For the City of Chicago, June 2009, Dkt. 09-0166. Direct and Rebuttal Testimony on the cost of capital of Peoples Energy Company evaluating the effects of the global financial crisis and the cost of capital of utility companies.
8. On Behalf of the Citizens Utilities Board, Docket ICC Docket No. 06-0070, April, 2006 before the Illinois Commerce Commission. Testimony on the cost of capital of AmerenCILCO, AmerenCIPS, and AmerenIP.

Edward Bodmer

9. On Behalf of the City of Chicago, Cook County States Attorney and the Citizens Utilities Board, Docket 05-0597, December, 2005 before the Illinois Commerce Commission. Testimony on the cost of capital of Commonwealth Edison Company.
10. On Behalf of the Byron Illinois School District on the Valuation of Nuclear Power Plants involving the appropriate cost of capital and the forward price of electricity.
11. On Behalf of the Chicago Building Owners and Managers Association, Docket 2002-0479, September, 2002 before the Illinois Commerce Commission. Testimony on option analysis related to provider of last resort obligations and re-structure of tariffs for large electricity customers.
12. On Behalf of the Staff of the Maine Public Utilities Commission, Docket 2001-239, 2001. Evaluation of the end use and econometric sales forecast developed by Central Maine Power and preparation of an alternative sales forecast.
13. On Behalf of the Staff of the Maine Public Utilities Commission, Docket 2001- 240, 2001. Evaluation of the sales forecast developed by Bangor Hydro Electric Company and preparation of an alternative sales forecast.
14. On Behalf of the City of Chicago before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 2001, Docket No. 01-0423. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the embedded and marginal cost study of Commonwealth Edison Company and the reasonableness of significant distribution expenditures made by the company.
15. On Behalf of Detroit Edison Company, before the Michigan Public Service Commission, Case No. U-12369. Rebuttal testimony on the valuation of customer options to switch between regulated utility service and competitive service.
16. On behalf of the City of Topeka before the Kansas Corporation Commission, 2001, Docket No. 01-WSRE-436-RTS. Direct, rebuttal and cross-answering testimony on regional rate parity, treatment of a new combined cycle plant, and new combustion turbine plants of Western Resources Company.
17. On behalf of Industrial Customers before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No. 00-0361. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the appropriate treatment of decommissioning cost after transfer of nuclear plants to an unregulated subsidiary of Commonwealth Edison Company.
18. On behalf of the Staff of the Maine Public Utilities Commission, 2000. Docket No. 99-666. Bench Analysis on development of productivity factors using comparative industry data and regression analysis and implementation of the alternative rate plan proposed by Central Maine Power Company.
19. On behalf of competitive metering providers before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 2000. Docket No. 99-0117. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the appropriate pricing of credits for customers receiving competitive metering services from non-utility companies.
20. On behalf of the City of Chicago before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 1999. Docket 99-0117. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the marginal cost of distribution service, the appropriate level of market price credits and rate design for government facilities.

Edward Bodmer

21. On behalf of Competitive Suppliers before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 1999. Docket No. 98-0680. Testimony on the economics of unbundling billing and metering services for utilities in Illinois and the benefits of uniform tariffs.
22. On behalf of the Maine Public Utilities Commission, 1998. Docket 98-058. Bench analysis on the market power implications and the financial benefits to customers of the Divestiture Plans of Central Maine Power Company, Bangor Hydro Electric Company and Maine Public Service Company.
23. On behalf of the Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, 1997. Deposition of forward pricing and valuation of nuclear plant entitlements held by MMWEC.
24. On behalf of the Minnesota Department of Public Service, 1996. Docket E.GOO2/PA-95-500. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the reasonableness of cost savings estimated in the proposed merger of Wisconsin Electric Power and Northern States Power Company.
25. On behalf of Indianapolis Power and Light Company before the Indiana Public Utilities Commission, Cause No. 39938. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the measurement of the relative productivity of utility companies using regression analysis and cross-sectional cost data for distribution, transmission and generation.
26. On behalf of the San Diego Gas and Electric Company before the California Public Utilities Commission, 1995, Case A 93-12-029. Rebuttal testimony on the statistical analysis of rate comparisons to measure the relative efficiency of utility companies.
27. On behalf of the City of Chicago before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 1994. Docket 94-0065. Direct and rebuttal testimony of marginal cost of service and the appropriate rate design on an intra-class basis for residential customers.
28. On behalf of the City of Chicago before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 1993. Docket 92-0303. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the regional cost of service in the City of Chicago and the Suburban communities.
29. On behalf of the Governor of Illinois, the Cook County States Attorney and the Illinois Attorney General before the Illinois Commerce Commission, 1988. Docket 87-0043. Direct and rebuttal testimony on the cost and benefits of a proposal by Commonwealth Edison Company to spin-off three nuclear plants to an subsidiary company.
30. On behalf of the Connecticut Attorney General before the Connecticut Department of Utility Control, 1984. Testimony of the prudence of Northeast Utilities in delaying construction of a nuclear plant.
31. On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission Staff before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No 83-0309. Testimony on the appropriate treatment of deferred taxes after changes in the income tax rate.
32. On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission Staff before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No 81-0026. Testimony on the interim and permanent phase of a rate increase proposed by Commonwealth Edison company addressing financial viability, capital structure and phase-in issues.

Edward Bodmer

33. On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission Staff before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No 81-0324. Testimony on the appropriate capital structure for Commonwealth Edison company from a ratepayer perspective.
34. On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission Staff before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No 80-0044. Testimony on the cost of common equity capital using the discounted cash flow method for Union Electric Company.
35. On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission Staff before the Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket No 80-0167. Testimony on the Application of a variable rate of return mode to apply to Construction Work in Progress for Illinois Power Company.